"Thermodynamic Analysis of Processes for Hydrogen Generation by Decomposition of Water"

by

John P. O'Connell Department of Chemical Engineering University of Virginia Charlottesville, VA 22904-4741

A Set of Energy Education Modules for Chemical Engineering Sponsored by The Center for Energy Initiatives of The American Institute of Chemical Engineers Institute for Sustainability

Module 2: Analysis of Single-Unit Processes

Introduction

Module 1 of this series provides the foundation thermodynamic analysis of processes for energy effects and processing traints. Before evaluating complex systems, experience in more straightforward cases is desirable.e Thesent Module treats systems with a single unit focusing on adiabatic turbines and binarytillation columns. Module 3 shows application of the analysis in an overall perspective toy thermochemical hydrogen process. Module 4 does an analysis of a process involving 2 sectionals Module 5 treats the 3-section Sulfur-Iodine process.

We first repeat essential elements of ModuleF1gure 2.1illustrates the concept for a steady-flow system, with inlet and outletestms at specified absolute temperaturepressures, P, and sets of molar or mass amounts for the componentits along with energy that crosses the boundaries as "shaft workVs, and heatQ

 ${}_{i} N_{i} h_{i} (T_{i}, P_{i}, \mathcal{X}_{i}) {}_{i} N_{o} h_{o} (T_{o}, P_{o}, \mathcal{X}_{o}) {}_{s} W_{s} {}_{b} Q_{b} Q$ (2.1)

Analysis of Single-Unit Systems

This Module gives some basic exampless aproblems that can provide experience in using Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2)s well as quantitative results for which may not be familiar to learners. It demonstrates a few of the greatety and f situations that cable treated with only a few relations by merely changing specificationsshould be noted that solving the relations can involve different strategies.

Case I ExamplesConsider an adiabatic turbine the addition produces work by lowering the pressure on a fluid. We develop the gerferates for Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2) and then examine situations of different specifications he system has single in and out ports, so the molar flows in the streams are the same. There will be ansignable shaft work mode and no heat effect; thus only cases C, E, and H are related. The system with consistemotation is given in Figure 2.2. Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2) then become:





e

For pure nonideal-gas substances, such as **shed** metrigerants, tables with values of $h(T, P, \{x\})$ and $s(T, P, \{x\})$ for the inlet and outlet states are ually available. For ideal gases, the fundamental relations are:

$$h_{o} h_{i} \stackrel{ig}{=} \sum_{T_{i}}^{T_{o}} c_{p}^{ig} T dT$$
(2.3)

$$\mathbf{s}_{o} \quad \mathbf{s}_{i} \quad \overset{ig}{\underset{T_{i}}{\overset{c_{p}^{ig}}{T}}} \quad \frac{\Gamma_{s} \underbrace{c_{p}^{ig}}{\overset{c_{p}^{ig}}{T}}}{T} dT \quad \mathsf{RIn}\left(\frac{\mathsf{P}_{o}}{\mathsf{P}_{i}}\right) \tag{2.4}$$

where $c_p^{ig} T$ is a constant, polynomi**ar**, other integrable function df. Use of these relations will affect the form of case E. For multiphase systems

h 1
$$x^{\vee} h^{\perp} x^{\vee} h^{\vee} h^{\perp} x^{\vee} (h^{\vee} h^{\perp}) h^{\perp} x^{\vee} h^{\vee ap}$$
 (2.5)

s 1
$$x^{\vee} s^{\perp} x^{\vee} s^{\vee} s^{\perp} x^{\vee} (s^{\vee} s^{\perp}) s^{\perp} x^{\vee} h^{vap}/T$$
 (2.6)

where h^{\perp} and s^{\perp} are the enthalpy and entropy of the saturated liquid, ds^{\vee} are the enthalpy and entropy of the saturated vapor, $a'hd^{a_{P}}$ is the enthalpy change on vaporization. For this situation, specifying either or P will determine the values of and s^{\perp} and h^{\vee} and s^{\vee} .

Case I Examples For the various applicable cases of Tea2.1, the forms to obtain the solution variables are merely rearrangements of E24.9. (and (2.2). Here we study only cases C and H, though problems for Cases E, F, and G oble al.1 of Module 1 are easily formulated. Assuming values for the enthalpy and entropy define es can be obtained from a reference or equation of state, the equations for Cases C and H can be solved sequentially.

Numerical Problems for Case I

<u>Case IC</u> For a turbine with the heat rejection and states of all streams fully specified, what are the entropy generated and the work effect?

For adiabatic, the terms with disappear and so the value Totis irrelevant. The desired variables are computed directly.

Table 2.11 gives specific problems for Casefor Cboth reversible and real systems where the fluid is Helium with $c_p^{ig} T = \frac{5}{2}R = 20.8 \text{ kJ km}$ km h^{-1} , with Problem #1 fully worked out. Table 2.12 gives specific problems for casesus the steam, with Problem #1 fully worked out. The effect of s_{gen} on T_o and W_s are shown in Figure 2.1. Note that while most of the input numbers can be rounded, because there are constraints on the signand W_s , as well as the limitation of adiabatic $Q_e = 0$, other values are normally not rounded.

Table 2.11 Specific Problems for Case IC with Plum. Eqs. (2.3) and (2.4) used with P_i , T_o , P_o , with $c_o = 0.0208$ MJ kmol K⁻¹ to obtain $h_o - h_i$ and $s_o - s$. Bold = Specified Italic = Solved.

						S₀ - Si	W _s , MJ	S _{gen} , MJ kmol ¹ K ⁻¹
IC.1	800	100	5	342.8 12	9.51	0.000	-47.5	0
IC.2	800	100	5	435.9 12	7.57	0.005		
IC.3	800	100	5	342.8 6.58	9.51	0.005		
IC.4	800	100	5	332.1 1	9.73	0.020		

<u>Case H</u>: For an adiabatic turbine with a fully specified input stream, outlet pressure, and entropy generation, what are the outlet temperature (or quality) and work? Assume tabulated values **of** ands are available, such as for steam.

$$W_s N h_o h_i$$
 (2.IH2)

The desired variable $\overline{\mathbf{e}}_{o}$ or \mathbf{x}^{\vee}_{o} , is embedded is. This Case can be solved for both reversibles $\mathbf{f}_{gen} = 0$ and reals $\mathbf{f}_{gen} > 0$ specifications.

Problem	T _i ,	Ρ,	h _i ,	Si	Ň,	D hor	S _{gen} ,	S₀	T _o ,	h _o ,	W _s ,
#	Κ	bar	MJ kmol ¹	MJ kmol ¹ K ⁻¹	mol	F ₀ , Dai	MJ kmol ¹ K ⁻¹	MJ kmol ¹ K ⁻¹	Κ	MJ kmol ¹	MJ
IH.1	600	9	56.05	0.131	3	1.2885	0.000	0.131	380	48.39	-23
IH.2	600	9	56.05	0.131	3	0.90	0.000				
IH.3	600	9	56.05	0.131	3	0.90	0.003				
IH.4	600	9	56.05	0.131	3	0.90	0.005				
IH.5	600	9	56.05	0.131	3	0.90	0.01				
IH.6	600	9	56.05	0.131	3	0.90	0.015				
IH.7	600	9	56.05	0.131	3	0.90	0.019				
IH.8	600	9	56.05	0.131	3	0.50	0.017				
IH.9	800	100	62.04	0.033	20	0.90	0.117				

Table 2.12 Case IH with steam old = Specified Italic = Solved $Q_e = 0$. Properties from [10].

Problem IH.1 solution

So	0.131 0	0.131F	or these values $\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{f}}$ and P_{\circ} searching the NIST tables \mathbf{y}	yields
	$T_{0} = 38$	80 K and	ch₀ = 45.39 MJ kmol	(IH.1.1)
Ws	3 48.39	56.05	23 MJ	(IH.2.1)

Problems 2.2Complete Table 2.I2 for problems #IH.2 to #IH.9. Show the variations of outlet temperature and work as a function of entropy generated for problems #IH.2 to #IH.7.

Problems #IH.2 to #IH.7 show entropy generations are the outlet temperature and decreases the work produced. Problems #IH7-9 show that increased work can be obtained even with increased entropy generation, if there is a sufficient increase indT_i (raisesh_i and, especially, lowers) or decrease inf₀ (raises₀).

- Problem 2.3Write the relations for Case IE: what are the outlet state and entropy generated for an adiabatic turbine with a fully specified input stream, outlet pressure, and work effect?
- Problem 2.4Write the relations for Case IF: what are the outlet state and required input moles for an adiabatic turbine with specified input and output streams, work, and entropy generated?

Case II ExamplesConsider a distillation column that steadily puts in high temperature heat and removes low temperature heat, with no work effectseparate a two-component feed into liquid mixtures dominated by the light component in the distillate and by the heavy component in the bottom product. We develop the generations for Eqs. (1) and (2) and then examine situations of different specifications and solution variables.

The system has a single in-port (feed) and **tw**t-ports (distillate and bottoms), so the molar flows in the streams must be obtained from a material balance. This system, with consistent notation, is shown in Figure 2.3. The overall material balance relation is:

$$N_f \quad N_d \quad N_b \quad 0 \tag{2.11}$$

There is also another material balance **done** of the components that involves the stream compositions. Present analyses will specify the compositions, though this is not necessary.





Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2) then become:

Ν

(2.112)

Problem	T _e ,	Q _e ,	T _h ,	Q _h ,	N _b ,	T _b ,	P _b ,	h _b ,	S₀,	S _{gen} ,
#	K	MJ	K	MJ	kmol	K	bar	MJ kmol ¹	MJ kmol ¹ K ⁻¹	MJ kmol ¹ K ⁻¹
IIA.1	300	-15.98	383.8	17.45	1	383	1	-0.137	-0.000357	0.000
IIA.2	300		383.8		1	383	1	-0.137	-0.000357	0.001
IIA.3	300		383.8		1	383	1	-0.137	-0.000357	0.003
IIA.4	300		383.8		1	383	1	-0.137	-0.000357	0.003
IIA.5	300		383.8		1	383	1	-0.137	-0.000357	0.003
IIA.6	300		410		1	383	1	-0.137	-0.000357	0.003
IIA.7	300		400		1	383	1	-0.137	-0.000357	0.003
IIA.8	300		410		1	383	1	-0.137	-0.000357	0.003
IIA.9	300	-11.65	452	3.66	1	451.5	5	13.36	0.003189	0.000
IIA.10	300		383.8		1	383	1	-0.137	-0.000357	0.003
IIA.11	300		383.8		2	383	1	-0.137	-0.000357	0.003
n these problems, the feed properties are computed first from Eqs. (2.11.4)										

In these problems, the feed properties are computed first from Eqs. (2.II.4).

 $h_f \quad x_{1f} h^0_{1f} \quad 1 \quad x_{1f} \ h^0_{2f} \quad 0.5 \quad 3.40 \quad 0.5 \ 1.76 \qquad 0.821$

(2.II4a.1)

 $s_{f} \quad x_{1f}s_{1f}^{0} \quad 1 \quad x_{1f} \ s_{2f}^{0} \quad \mathsf{R}[x_{1}(\ln x_{1}) \quad 1 \quad x_{1} \ \ln \ 1 \quad x_{1} \]$

0.5 0.00907 0.5 0.00489 0.0 0.0083140.5 ln(0.5) 0.5 ln(0.5)] (2.114b.1) 0.003671

Then the heats are computed from Eqs. (2.IIA1) and (2.IIA2).

 $\begin{array}{ccc} N_d[(h_d \ h_f) \ T_h(s_d \ s_f)] \ N_b[\ h_b \ h_f \ T_h(s_b \ s_f)] \ T_h \ N_b \ N_d \ sNf - \ `a \ ~d\#WpyK \ \tilde{A}yG \\ D_i - ics8AfC_{,,.} \end{array}$

(2.IIA1.1)

Problem 2.6Plot the effects of gen on Qe and Qh for a set of fixed column specifications.

<u>Case IID</u> For a specified separation and input heat, what is the heat removal and the entropy generated? Only real systems, not reversible, can be evaluated for this case.

Qe	N _d (h _d	h _f)	$N_b h_b$	h _f	Q_h	(2.IID1)
S gen						(2.IID2)