Conference Evaluation Form 2016 Conference – Denver, CO

1.	Overall,	how woul	ld you ra	ate this year	r's Sym	iposium?
----	----------	----------	-----------	---------------	---------	----------

63 Excellent 33.3% 122 Good 64.5% 4 Fair 2.1%

2. Did you find the Symposium - 188

46 Better than what you expected 24.5%
140 About what you expected 76.6%
2 Not as good as you expected 1.1%

3. How would you rate the overall content/information of the program? - 190

51 Excellent 26.8% 120 Good 63.2% 19 Fair 10.0%

4. How would you rate the networking opportunities provided? - 189

84 Excellent 44.4%98 Good 51.9%7 Fair 3.7%

5. Were you satisfied with the pre-registration/on-site registration process? - 189

186 Yes 98.4% 3 No 1.6%

If no, why?

No - Difficult to add a second member.

No - Was not clear that we could pick up tags day of.

No - Name of my company was missed from the participation/ company list in preregistration

Yes – Can be improved by reminder email.

Yes – Didn't find value in the Backpack & Water Bottles might make that optional for folks.

6. Did Hotel staff handle your housing accommodations correctly?

156 Yes 8 No 26 Stayed somewhere else
Hotel Facilities (Grand Hyatt): 60 Exceptional 60 Adequate
Meeting Location (Denver, CO): 58 Exceptional 65 Adequate 2 Inadequate

- 7. What are your preferences for future Symposium locations 483 responses 100 San Francisco 20.7%
 - 94 London 19.5%
 - 70 Paris 16.40/
 - 79 Paris 16.4%
 - 68 San Diego 14.1%64 New Orleans 13.3%
 - 34 Others: 7.0%
- 4 Philadelphia
- 3 New Dehli
- 2 Asia
- 2 Tampa, Florida
- 2 Orlando, Florida
- 2 Berlin
- 2 Washington DC
- 2 Houston, TX
- 2 Charlotte
- 2 Minneapolis
- 2 Singapore

Trinidad

Edmonton

Bangkok, Tokyo

Brussels

Rio De Janeiro

Chicago

Portland, OR

Calgary, Canada

Seattle 111

Kansas City

Dallas

Hawaii

Toronto

Pittsburgh, PA

Amsterdam

Las Vegas

Memphis

Africa

Vicuna

8. Did you receive the Symposium promotional information in a timely manner??

167 Yes 88% 23 No 12%

9. How many years have you been in the Ammonia Industry?

53 1

- mm. Keep the industry incidents section, this is very valuable
- nn. SIS discussion on rotating equipment
- oo. Experience on catalyst reduction
- pp. CO2 removal system issues
- qq. IT/Software security DCS
- rr. Update on issues related to high-temperature hydrogen attack
- ss. Benefits of asset integrity management
- tt. Ammonia sampling & analysis safe handling
- uu. Industry incidents (Especially fires & explosions and loss on containment)
- vv. Emergency procedures
- ww. Remaining life estimation of catalyst tube
- xx. Is there a better way to inspect NH3 storage tanks without taking them out of service
- yy. How long should they remain in service before an inspection is done
- zz. Future commitment for sustainability
- aaa. Energy reduction
- bbb. Impact of govt. policies in Ammonia Plant Design/performance
- ccc. Purifier and related issues
- ddd. Issues around Ruthenium catalyst operations

eee.

- c. Welding problems on ammonia plant primary reformer
- d. Hot Collectors
- e. Hotel & Lunch
- f. More Presentation split room?
- g. Shorter lunch break (1.5 hrs. instead of 2) with earlier end time each day.
- h. Networking opportunities create an outing choice instead of free time??
- i. Additional vendors Maybe some valve / pump supplies / instrument suppliers, etc.
- j. More case studies related topics
- k. Can speakers have microphones in lapels / headset etc., sometimes hard to hear or understand, especially for some non-english (as a first language) speakers.
 - 1. More detailed analysis of some of the incident analyses
 - m. Keeping / selecting papers related to technical issues
 - n. Acoustic quality in the ballroom
 - o. Coffee break time & content (food)
- p. In power point presentation, use both FPS & MKS systems It seems many are not aware of °c/kgs etc.
 - q. Presentations need to be mailed to the participants well in advance.
 - r. Balance between technological // incident papers
 - s. Consider additional time for roundtable to promote active discussion.
 - t. Noise from coffee breaks need to end coffee break more promptly
 - u. More industry incident
- v. Some presentations were sales pitches by Clariant, JM, and HT. Some papers were vague and lacked real world examples & conclusions.
 - w. Better selection of papers

X.

- jj. Poor choice to have the stop work authorization talk right after the keynote address. Consider better order of talks.
 - kk. Root cause analysis of incidents / failures
- ll. Abbreviations used in ppt may be clearly expanded at least in the presentation (PPE)
- mm. Presentation Delivery of papers / space for vendors small & difficult to move and speak.
 - nn. Diversification of topics
 - oo. Translators for clarifying questions & answers during sessions
 - pp. Giving more room for vendors it is very congested
 - qq. Papers author should include contact information
- rr. R&D efforts, environmental improvements send out tech. manual early to improve questions.
- ss. Close doors promptly after breaks. Coffee before sessions. No presentations with audio
 - tt. Variant of coffee break & snack
 - uu. More paper & speakers
 - vv. Media resources
 - ww. Breakfast provided
 - xx. Quality of papers
 - yy. Contents
 - zz. Some speakers are difficult to understand
- aaa. Presentation by a company which can be bench marked in operations & maintenance
 - bbb. Quality of papers on RCA / Lessons / evaluations
- ccc. Keynote address was not appropriate for large audience, include more papers or add a poster session.
- ddd. Better screening of papers (most are very good but a few others sneak through)
- eee. Surprised with the free Tuesday afternoon if people want to investigate their surroundings I suggest they come a day early or leave later.
 - fff. Include roundtable topics every day to promote networking.
 - ggg. Bigger ID's Name & Company
 - hhh. Add a networking lunch for all (include the cost in the base fee)
 - iii. It was very thin attendance (<40) on Monday
 - jij. Add snacks with coffee breaks (add the cost in the base fee)
- kkk. I'd sure like an organized opportunity to network in small groups. I'd be happ to share what I've seen @ other conferences in the refining industry. (Marc Hoss, Koch Fertilizer Enid)
 - Ill. Conference room very small

mmm. Provide attendee list prior to meeting. Provide better info on lunch options. Would be nice to provide email addresses of attendees with their permission.

nnn. The sharing of the presentations via electronic eg USB

ooo. Technology & improvement in ammonia plant operations

ppp. It's a little chilly in the auditorium

qqq. Combine Urea, UAN, AN users to present papers

rrr. Pricing for the conference to be reduced

sss. Welding problems on ammonia plant primary hot collators

ttt. Price agreement on papers to be presented

uuu. Page 3B Ammonia converter reaction

vvv. Page 3E Ammonia plants compressor room fire.

www. Include lunch everyone disappearing off-site limited networking opportunities

xxx. Conference could probably be condensed to 21/2 to 3 days if breaks were shorter/less frequent.

yyy. Selection of papers related to Process Safety incident, Reliability improvement and proactive measurement on critical equipment's inspection.

ZZZ.