

MANAGEMENT OF CHANGE: AN OVERVIEW

NJIT Student Section AIChE October 11, 2017

WHO AM I?



•





Information presented on these slides was obtained (with permission) from:

- An Engineer's Guide to Management of Change R.
 Wayne Garland, CEP Magazine, March 2012
- ...as well as over 30 years of experience in the chemical process industry!



 On June 1, 1974, an explosion at a chemical plant near the village of Flixborough, England killed 28 people and seriously injured 26





- The chemical plant was owned by Nypro (UK) and had been in operation since 1967, producing Caprolactam, a chemical used in the production of Nylon
- The process involved in the accident was an oxidation of cyclohexane with air in a series of 6 reactors, producing a mixture of cyclohexanol and cyclohexanone:







- At 4:53 pm on Saturday, June 1, 1974, the temporary bypass pipe containing cyclohexane at 150°C and 1 MPa (~ 145 psi) ruptured, possibly as a result of a fire on a nearby 8 inch pipe, which had been burning for nearly an hour
- Within about 1 minute, approximately 40 tons of cyclohexane leaked from the pipe and formed a vapor cloud an estimated 100-200 meters in diameter
- The vapor cloud exploded, completely destroying the plant*
 - * Ignition source was probably a furnace at a nearby hydrogen plant



- The force of the explosion was estimated to be the equivalent of about 15 tons of TNT
- All 18 control room employees were killed, 9 other site workers were killed, and 1 delivery driver died in his truck of a heart-attack
- If the explosion occurred on a weekday, the casualties could've been upwards of 500 people
- Resulting fires raged in the area for 10 days
- The blast was heard up to 25 miles away



- It was determined that the bypass pipe had failed due to unanticipated lateral stresses during a pressure surge
 - The bypass pipe had not been designed by engineers experienced in high-pressure piping design
 - No plans or calculations were produced
 - The pipe was not pressure tested before use
 - The pipe was mounted on temporary scaffolding poles that allowed it to twist under pressure

NOT THE ONLY INCIDENT



Year	Location	Incident	Deaths	Injuries
1976	Seveso, Italy	bursting disc rupture & chemical release		~
1984	Mexico City, Mexico	ruptured LPG pipe leading to a series of explosions	~	~ ,
1984	Bhopal, India	MIC release when water introduced to storage tank	~ ,	~ ,
1985	Institute, WV	methylene chloride & aldicarb oxide release		
1988	Norca, LA	pipe elbow failure leading to cracker explosion		
1988	Henderson, NV	welding sparks ingnited chemical, leading to explosions		
1989	Richmond, CA	H line weld failed, leading to fire and reactor failure		
1989	Pasadena, TX	reactor seal blew out resulting in fires & explosions		
1990	Channelview, TX	wastewater treatment tank explosion		
1990	Cincinnati, OH	flammable deaning solvent ignited causing fire & explosion		
1991	Lake Charles, LA	superheated oil and water resulted in steam explosion		
1991	Sterlington, LA	explosion & series of fires at nitroparaffin plant		
1991	Charleston, SC	explosion due to ingredient contamination & loss of cooling		



- What is the (OSHA) definition of change?
- What are some common types of changes?
- Why do we need a management of change process?
- What is the basic MOC workflow process?
- What are the keys to a successful MOC Program?

TYPES OF CHANGES



1. Facility Changes:

• These include any modifications made to the equipment

2. Control System Changes:

• These include changes to the programming or control logic, including who has access to the logic

3. Information System Changes:

• These include changes to raw material specifications resulting in the replacement of a chemical

4. Procedural Changes:

 These include any changes to previously established safety, quality or operating limits

WHY IS MOC PROCESS NEEDED?



• The story of "Sam Shortcut"





- A facility change is needed:
 - Alter some piping and a control value to re-route a conveyor system to an existing storage bin (Bin 99), that is currently not in service
- Because of the simplicity of the project, (and because he's already over-worked), Sam decides to by-pass the MOC process and gets the alterations done by the area mechanics and electricians

SAM SHORTCUT'S PROJECT



• Simple project workflow process:





- Sam is proud of his efficiency until.....
 - The material transfer operator cannot get product to go into Bin 99
 - The area operations manager has a quality problem because material was transferred to the wrong bin
 - An operator returning from vacation uses the old targets for the process variables because he was unaware of the changed targets for the new product
 - The area operations manager is upset again because there has been an accidental discharge – the primary level sensor on the bin failed and there was no back-up



- Sam is proud of his efficiency until.....
 - The shift team manager is concerned about the relief device on Bin
 99 cycling frequently and possibly releasing inert gas into the
 production area
 - The pressure vessel inspector becomes aware of the change and believes the state codes for pressure vessels could apply – he asks
 Sam if the bin is rated for the new operating pressure and if the relief device is set correctly



- Sam is proud of his efficiency until.....
 - He unfortunately acquires the new nickname: "Bin 99 Engineer"



WHY IS MOC PROCESS NEEDED?



• Management of Change workflow process:



HOW MOC FITS INTO THE OVERALL SAFETY PROGRAM



 Safety programs of chemical facilities typically consist of process hazard analyses (PHA's), a mechanical integrity program, personnel training, operating procedures, PSSR's, PSI, incident prevention and MOC.

• MOC plays a central role because:

- It provides updates to PSI's
- It identifies when a PSSR is needed
- It ensures that employees are trained to carry out the new procedures
- It adds the new equipment to the mechanical integrity test and inspection schedules
- Its documentation is reviewed once every 5 years (req'd by PSM Rule) during the revalidation of PSI's, ensuring process-to-PHA consistency

KEYS FOR SUCCESSFUL MOC



Personnel training

- Change should be managed, not just documented
- Clearly defined role responsibilities for MOC process
- Communication
- Regular audits of the process
- Management expectations that MOC process will be followed all the time



What is an example of the (OSHA) definition of change? ANSWER:

- A. Adding a new control valve
- Β.
- С.
- D.
- Ε.

MOC covers alterations to manufacturing processes that are not replacement-in-kind. Alterations to office areas, editorial changes, or certain emergency actions are not subject to MOC



• What is NOT an example of the (OSHA) definition of change?

- A. Temporarily by-passing an interlock
- B. Using a different schedule of pipe in a pipeline than what is called for in the current piping specification for that
 hrrÄ i ad s f N



- What is NOT an example of the (OSHA) definition of change? ANSWER:
 - Α.
 - Β.
 - C.
 - D. Changing a temperature target or alarm limit within the range defined in a standard operating procedure or control strategy
 - Ε.

If safe operating limits are defined in a standard operating procedure, process set-points can be changed within that range without the need for MOC. If the set-point is being changed to a value that is outside of the pre-approved safe operating limits, then MOC should be used.

